

Crystallization and preliminary crystallographic studies of trichomaglin, a novel ribosome-inactivating protein

Jian Wu, Jian-Hua Gan and
Zong-Xiang Xia*

State Key Laboratory of Bio-organic and Natural Products Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200032, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: xiazx@pub.sioc.ac.cn

Trichomaglin, a novel ribosome-inactivating protein, has been crystallized in two crystal forms using the hanging-drop vapour-diffusion method. The form *A* and form *B* crystals belong to the orthorhombic space group $P2_12_12_1$ and the hexagonal space group $P6_1$ (or $P6_5$), respectively. X-ray data have been collected to 3.3 and 2.2 Å resolution for the form *A* and *B* crystals, respectively.

Received 18 May 2000

Accepted 28 July 2000

1. Introduction

Ribosome-inactivating proteins (RIPs) are a family of toxins that inhibit protein synthesis (Barbieri *et al.*, 1993), inactivating ribosomes by hydrolyzing the N–C glycosidic bond of the adenosine residue at the specific site of the large ribosomal subunits. RIPs can be classified into two types. Type I RIPs contain a single polypeptide chain, while type II RIPs consist of two chains (chains *A* and *B*). The molecular weights of type I and type II RIPs are 25–30 and 60 kDa, respectively. Type I RIPs and the *A* chains of type II RIPs possess RNA N-glycosidase activity (Endo & Tsurugi, 1987; Zhang & Liu, 1992), while the *B* chains of type II RIPs assist the *A* chains to enter the cells.

The three-dimensional structures of the type I RIPs such as trichosanthin and β -momorcharin have been studied by X-ray crystallographic methods in our laboratory (Xia *et al.*, 1993; Yuan *et al.*, 1999). Their overall structures share a common folding pattern and they are similar to those of the *A* chains of type II RIPs such as ricin (Katzin *et al.*, 1991). Some structural differences were observed in loops in the molecular-surface region.

Trichomaglin is a type I RIP with a molecular weight of 24 673 Da isolated from the plant maganlin (*Trichosanthes lepiniana* Naud, Cucurbitaceae family). Trichomaglin and trichosanthin belong to the same genus but different species. We have previously reported the purification, characterization and biological activity of trichomaglin (Chen *et al.*, 1999). The isoelectric point of trichomaglin is 5.8, which is very different from that of trichosanthin (9.4). Trichomaglin can inhibit protein synthesis in rabbit reticulocyte lysate with an ID_{50} of 10.1 nM. The amino-acid sequence of the 18 N-terminal residues of trichomaglin shows little sequence homology with trichosanthin for the N-terminal segments (unpublished results). In this paper, we present the crystallization and preliminary crystallographic studies of trichomaglin.

2. Crystallization and preliminary crystallographic analysis

The crystallization conditions of trichomaglin were screened using the sparse-matrix sampling method (Jancarik & Kim, 1991) with the hanging-drop vapour-diffusion technique at 293 K. Two crystal forms of trichomaglin have been obtained using PEGs as the precipitant. The orthorhombic form (form *A*) was crystallized in droplets composed of 20 mg ml⁻¹ trichomaglin and reservoir solution in a 1:1 ratio; the reservoir solution contained 0.1 M Tris–HCl buffer pH 8.5, 28% (w/v) PEG 4K and 0.2 M Li₂SO₄. Hexagonal crystals (form *B*) were obtained when 0.15 M KHSO₄ took the place of Li₂SO₄ and the concentration of PEG 4K was decreased to 14% (w/v). Both forms of the crystals grew to final dimensions of approximately 0.50 × 0.35 × 0.15 mm within a month. The crystals of form *B* diffract better than those of form *A*.

The preliminary crystallographic study was carried out with a precession camera and a Rigaku RU-200 rotating-anode X-ray generator and the space group and the unit-cell parameters were confirmed during the X-ray data collection. The space group and the unit-cell parameters are shown in Table 1. Assuming two and one trichomaglin molecule(s) in the asymmetric unit for form *A* and form *B*, respectively, the volumes per unit protein molecular mass V_M (Matthews, 1968) for the two crystal forms were reasonable, as shown in Table 1.

The X-ray data of both crystal forms of trichomaglin were collected from one single crystal each on a MAR Research 300 imaging-plate detector system. The data were processed using the programs *DENZO* and *SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997). The diffraction data were collected to 3.3 and 2.2 Å resolutions for the form *A* and *B* crystals, respectively. The data-collection statistics are given in Table 1.

Table 1
Crystal data of trichomaglin.

	Form A	Form B
Space group	$P2_12_12_1$	$P6_1$ or $P6_5$
Unit-cell parameters (Å)		
<i>a</i>	49.09	94.00
<i>b</i>	68.15	94.00
<i>c</i>	153.16	57.77
No. of molecules per asymmetric unit	2	1
V_M (Å ³ Da ⁻¹)	2.60	2.99
Resolution (Å)	3.3	2.2
No. of unique reflections	7732	4568
R_{merge}^\dagger (%)	0.114	0.086
Data completeness (%)	98.0	98.7

$$^\dagger R_{\text{merge}} = \frac{\sum(I - \langle I \rangle)}{\sum(I)}$$

An attempt was made to determine the crystal structure of trichomaglin by the molecular-replacement method using trichosanthin or β -momorcharin as the search model, but it failed for both crystal

forms of trichomaglin. This fact, along with the very different isoelectric point and lack of sequence homology in the N-terminal segments, suggests that the three-dimensional structure of trichomaglin is probably quite different from that of other type I RIPs such as trichosanthin.

The determination of the primary structure and the crystal structure of trichomaglin are in progress using a gene-sequencing method and the multiple isomorphous replacement method, respectively.

This work was supported by a grant from National Natural Science Foundation of China and a grant from the Chinese Academy of Sciences. We are grateful to Professor Li-wen Niu, Professor Mai-kun Teng and Dr Xue-Yong Zhu of the University of Science and Technology of China for

their support and help with the X-ray data collection.

References

- Barbieri, L., Battelli, M. G. & Stirpe, F. (1993). *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **1154**, 237–282.
- Chen, R., Xu, Y.-Z., Wu, J., Pu, Z., Jin, S.-W., Liu, W.-Y. & Xia, Z.-X. (1999). *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Int.* **47**(2), 185–193.
- Endo, Y. & Tsurugi, K. (1987). *J. Biol. Chem.* **262**, 8128–8130.
- Jancarik, J. & Kim, S.-H. (1991). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **24**, 409–411.
- Katzin, B. J., Collins, E. J. & Robertus, J. D. (1991). *Proteins Struct. Funct. Genet.* **10**, 251–259.
- Matthews, B. W. (1968). *J. Mol. Biol.* **33**, 491–497.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods Enzymol.* **276**, 307–326.
- Xia, Z.-X., Zhang L., Zhang Z.-M., Wu, S. & Dong, Y.-C. (1993). *Chin. J. Chem.* **11**, 280–288.
- Yuan, Y.-R., He, Y.-N., Xiong, J.-P. & Xia, Z.-X. (1999). *Acta Cryst. D* **55**, 1144–1151.
- Zhang, J.-S. & Liu, W.-Y. (1992). *Nucleic Acids Res.* **20**, 1271–1275.